

# MIXED NORM ESTIMATES FOR CERTAIN GENERALIZED RADON TRANSFORMS

MICHAEL CHRIST AND M. BURAK ERDOĞAN

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we investigate the mapping properties in Lebesgue-type spaces of certain generalized Radon transforms defined by integration over curves.

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be open subsets of  $\mathbf{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 2$ , and let  $Z$  be a smooth submanifold of  $X \times Y \subset \mathbf{R}^{2d}$  of dimension  $d+1$ . Assume that the projections  $\pi_1 : Z \rightarrow X$  and  $\pi_2 : Z \rightarrow Y$  are submersions at each point of  $Z$ . For each  $y \in Y$ , let

$$\gamma_y = \{x \in X : (x, y) \in Z\} = \pi_1 \pi_2^{-1}(y).$$

In this case,  $\gamma_y$  are smooth curves in  $X$  which vary smoothly with  $y \in Y$ . For every  $y \in Y$ , choose a smooth, non-negative measure  $\sigma_y$  on  $\gamma_y$  which varies smoothly with  $y$  in the natural sense. A generalized Radon transform  $T$  (see e.g. [2, 11, 13]) is defined as an operator taking functions on  $X$  to functions on  $Y$  via

$$Tf(y) = \int_{\gamma_y} f d\sigma_y.$$

The adjoint of this operator has a similar form:

$$T^*g(x) = \int_{\gamma_x^*} g d\sigma_x^*,$$

where

$$\gamma_x^* = \{y : (x, y) \in Z\} = \pi_2 \pi_1^{-1}(\{x\}) \subset Y$$

and  $\sigma_x^*$  is a nonnegative measure on  $\gamma_x^*$  with a smooth density which varies smoothly with  $x$ .

Tao and Wright [14] have formulated and proved a nearly optimal characterization of the local  $(L^p, L^q)$  mapping properties of these operators. We extend their result to the mixed-norm setting and obtain essentially optimal local mixed-norm inequalities for these operators, under one additional dimensional restriction. Previously this result was obtained for a model operator in [15, 7, 5]. See [1, 3, 5, 7, 14, 15] for various examples and prior work.

---

*Date:* 9/6/2005.

The second author was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-0540084.

**Mixed norms on  $Y$ .** Throughout the entire discussion,  $X, Y$  will denote sufficiently small neighborhoods of  $x_0, y_0$  for some fixed point  $(x_0, y_0) \in Z$ . Let  $\Pi : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a submersion such that the fibers  $\Pi^{-1}(t)$  are transverse to the curves  $\gamma_x^*$ . This means that the restriction of  $\Pi$  to  $\gamma_x^*$  is a diffeomorphism for each  $x \in X$ . Choose coordinates so that  $\Pi(y_0) = 0$ . Let  $\lambda_t$  be Lebesgue measure on the  $d - 1$ -dimensional surface  $\Pi^{-1}(t)$ . To a function  $f : Y \mapsto \mathbf{R}$  we associate the mixed norms

$$\|f\|_{L^q L^r(Y)} = \|f\|_{q,r} := \left[ \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left[ \int_{\Pi^{-1}(t)} |f(s)|^r d\lambda_t(s) \right]^{q/r} dt \right]^{1/q}.$$

The integral with respect to  $t$  is taken over a small neighborhood of the origin in  $\mathbf{R}$ ; we may assume that this neighborhood is contained in  $[-1, 1]$ . Here, and throughout this paper,  $t$  is restricted to lie in a one-dimensional manifold. This is not a natural restriction, but our analysis yields reasonably satisfactory results only in that special case.

We say that  $T$  is of strong mixed type  $(p, q, r)$  if  $T$  maps  $L^p(X)$  to  $L^q L^r(Y)$  boundedly. We are mainly interested in local estimates. We assume throughout the discussion that  $T$  is  $L^p$ -improving, which means that for each  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , there exists  $q > p$  such that  $T$  maps  $L^p(X)$  to  $L^q(Y)$ . See [6] and [13] for characterizations of this property.

Our theorem is a characterization of the exponents  $(p, q, r)$  for which  $T$  is bounded. Before we proceed let us record the simple facts about these exponents.

(i) Because of the transversality hypothesis described above,  $T$  is of strong mixed type  $(p, \infty, p)$  for all  $p \in [1, \infty]$ .

(ii) Since we are working in a bounded region, whenever  $T$  is of strong mixed type  $(p, q, r)$ , it is also of strong mixed type  $(p_1, q_1, r_1)$  whenever  $p_1 \geq p$ ,  $q_1 \leq q$ , and  $r_1 \leq r$ .

(iii) Because of (i) and (ii),  $T$  is of strong mixed type  $(p, q, r)$  whenever  $p \geq r$ .

**Two-parameter Carnot-Carathéodory Balls.** Tao and Wright [14] related the set of all exponents  $(p, q)$  for which the operator  $T$  maps  $L^p(X)$  to  $L^q(Y)$  to the geometry of  $Z$ . To describe this relation, choose smooth nowhere-vanishing linearly independent real vector fields  $V_1, V_2$  on  $Z$  whose integral curves are the fibers of  $\pi_1, \pi_2$  respectively. Equivalently, at each point  $z \in Z$ ,  $V_j$  spans the nullspace of  $D\pi_j$ , for  $j = 1, 2$ . The  $L^p$ -improving property is equivalent to  $V_1, V_2$  satisfying the bracket condition, i.e.,  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  together with their iterated commutators span the tangent space to  $Z$  at each point in  $Z$  [6].

**Definition 1.** Let  $z_0 \in Z$  and  $0 < \delta_1, \delta_2 \ll 1$ . The two-parameter Carnot-Carathéodory ball  $B(z_0, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  consists of all the points  $z \in Z$  such that there exists an absolutely continuous function  $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow Z$  satisfying

(i)  $\varphi(0) = z_0, \varphi(1) = z$

(ii) for almost every  $t \in [0, 1]$

$$\varphi'(t) = a_1(t)V_1(\varphi(t)) + a_2(t)V_2(\varphi(t))$$

with  $|a_1(t)| < \delta_1$ ,  $|a_2(t)| < \delta_2$ .

The metric properties of Carnot-Carathéodory balls were studied extensively in [12]. The discussion there is phrased in terms of the one-parameter family of balls naturally associated to a family of vector fields satisfying the bracket condition. These balls depend on a center point, a radius  $r$ , and a family  $\{W_j\}$  of vector fields. They can equivalently be viewed as depending on a center point and the family  $\{rW_j\}$  of vector fields, with the radius redefined to be identically one. In these terms, the proofs in [12] go through more generally, for balls of radius one assigned to families of vector fields  $\{U_j^\alpha : 1 \leq j \leq J\}$  satisfying the bracket condition for each parameter  $\alpha$ , with appropriate uniformity as  $\alpha$  varies. In particular, for the vector fields  $\{\delta_1 V_1, \delta_2 V_2\}$ , provided that  $0 < \delta_1, \delta_2 \leq c_0$  for sufficiently small  $c_0$ , the conclusions of [12] hold uniformly in  $\delta_1, \delta_2$  under a supplementary hypothesis of weak comparability, which is discussed below. See [14, 3].

It will be convenient in our proof to parametrize the curves  $\gamma_x^*$  by  $t$  so that  $\Pi(\gamma_x^*(t)) \equiv t$ . With this parametrization, the measure  $\sigma_x$  on  $\gamma_x^*$  is equivalent to  $dt$ , uniformly in  $x$ . We rescale  $V_1$  if necessary so that for each  $z \in Z$  and sufficiently small  $s \in \mathbf{R}$

$$(1) \quad \Pi\pi_2(e^{sV_1}z) = \Pi\pi_2(z) + s.$$

**Definition 2.** Let  $0 < \theta \leq 1$ , and let  $A$  be a positive constant. We say that  $0 < \delta_1, \delta_2 \ll 1$  are  $(\theta, A)$ -weakly comparable, and write  $\delta_1 \sim_{\theta, A} \delta_2$ , if  $\delta_1 \leq A\delta_2^\theta$  and  $\delta_2 \leq A\delta_1^\theta$ .

The following lemma collects basic facts about the balls  $B(z, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let  $K$  be a compact subset of  $Z$ . Assume that  $\delta_1 \sim_{(\theta, A)} \delta_2$  are sufficiently small, and let  $z \in K$ . Then  $B = B(z, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  satisfies

- (i)  $|B| \sim |B(z, 2\delta_1, 2\delta_2)|$ ,
- (ii)  $|B| \sim |\pi_1(B)|\delta_1 \sim |\pi_2(B)|\delta_2$
- (iii)  $|\Pi(\pi_2(B))| \sim \delta_1$ ,
- (iv)  $\|\chi_{\pi_2(B)}\|_{q', r'} \sim |B|^{1-\frac{1}{r}}\delta_1^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{q}}\delta_2^{\frac{1}{r}-1}$ ,
- (v)

$$\frac{|B|}{|\pi_1(B)|^{\frac{1}{p}}\|\chi_{\pi_2(B)}\|_{q', r'}} \sim |B|^{\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{p}}\delta_1^{\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{r}}\delta_2^{1-\frac{1}{r}}.$$

Here  $1 \leq p, q, r \leq \infty$ , and  $q', r'$  are the exponents conjugate to  $q, r$ , respectively.

The notation  $A \sim C$  means that the ratio  $A/C$  is bounded above and below by quantities depending on  $Z, \theta, A$ , and the compact set  $K$ , but not on  $\delta_1, \delta_2$ . In the absence of weak comparability, the doubling property (i) fails

in general for two-parameter Carnot-Carathéodory balls associated to  $C^\infty$  vector fields satisfying the bracket condition [3].

For a sketch of the proof of the lemma see §4 below.

**Statement of results.** Recall that  $T$  is said to be of restricted weak type  $(p, q)$  if for all Lebesgue measurable sets  $E \subset X$  and  $F \subset Y$

$$(2) \quad \langle T\chi_E, \chi_F \rangle \lesssim |E|^{1/p} |F|^{1/q'},$$

where  $q'$  denotes the exponent conjugate to  $q$ . In our setup

$$(3) \quad \langle T\chi_E, \chi_F \rangle \approx |\pi_1^{-1}(E) \cap \pi_2^{-1}(F)|,$$

where  $|\cdot|$  denotes Lebesgue measure on  $Z$ . We test the inequality (2) on the Carnot-Carathéodory balls  $B(z, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  under the restriction that  $\delta_1 \sim_{(\theta, A)} \delta_2$ . Let  $E = \pi_1(B(z, \delta_1, \delta_2)), F = \pi_2(B(z, \delta_1, \delta_2))$ . Using (3), Lemma 1 and restricting attention to the nontrivial case where  $q > p$ , the inequality (2) reads

$$(4) \quad |B(z, \delta_1, \delta_2)| \gtrsim \delta_1^{c_1} \delta_2^{c_2},$$

where

$$(5) \quad c_1 = \frac{p^{-1}}{p^{-1} - q^{-1}}, \quad c_2 = \frac{1 - q^{-1}}{p^{-1} - q^{-1}}.$$

Define

$$\mathcal{C}_{\theta, A}(T) := \{(c_1, c_2) : \inf \left( \frac{|B(z, \delta_1, \delta_2)|}{\delta_1^{c_1} \delta_2^{c_2}} \right) > 0\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all  $z \in Z$  and over all pairs  $\delta_1, \delta_2$  that satisfy  $\delta_1 \sim_{(\theta, A)} \delta_2$ . Define

$$\mathcal{C}(T) := \bigcap_{0 < \theta \leq 1} \bigcap_{A \geq 1} \mathcal{C}_{\theta, A}(T).$$

According to (4), (2) can not hold for  $(p, q)$  if the corresponding  $(c_1, c_2)$  does not belong to  $\mathcal{C}(T)$ . Tao and Wright [14] proved that<sup>1</sup> for all  $(c_1, c_2)$  in the interior of  $\mathcal{C}(T)$ , (2) holds for the exponents  $(p, q)$  defined by (4).

In this note we extend this result to mixed norms. We say that  $T$  is of restricted weak mixed type  $(p, q, r)$  if for all  $E \subset X$  and  $F \subset Y$ ,

$$\langle T\chi_E, \chi_F \rangle \lesssim |E|^{1/p} \|\chi_F\|_{q', r'}.$$

By interpolation, the strong mixed type estimates can be obtained from these inequalities, except for exponents corresponding to boundary points of  $\mathcal{C}(T)$ .

The two-parameter Carnot-Carathéodory balls defined above also dictate the allowed exponent triples  $(p, q, r)$  for mixed norm inequalities, under certain additional restrictions on the exponents  $p, q, r$ :

---

<sup>1</sup>Tao and Wright defined the set  $\mathcal{C}(T)$  differently. An analysis of the two-parameter balls along the lines of [12] establishes the equivalence of these two definitions.

**Definition 3.** Let  $P_{\theta,A}(T)$  be the set of all exponents  $(p, q, r)$  satisfying

(i)  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq r \leq \infty$ ,

(ii)

$$(6) \quad \sup_{z, \delta_1, \delta_2} \frac{|B(z, \delta_1, \delta_2)|}{|\pi_1(B(z, \delta_1, \delta_2))|^{1/p} \|\chi_{\pi_2(B(z, \delta_1, \delta_2))}\|_{q', r'}} < \infty,$$

where  $q', r'$  are the conjugates of  $q, r$  respectively, and the supremum is taken over all  $z \in Z$  and  $\delta_1 \sim_{\theta, A} \delta_2$ .

Using Lemma 1 we can rewrite the second condition in the definition of  $P_{\theta,A}(T)$  as in (4) with

$$(7) \quad c_1 = \frac{p^{-1} + q^{-1} - r^{-1}}{p^{-1} - r^{-1}}, \quad c_2 = \frac{1 - r^{-1}}{p^{-1} - r^{-1}}.$$

Define

$$P(T) := \cap_{0 < \theta \leq 1} \cap_{A \geq 1} P_{\theta,A}(T) = \{(p, q, r) : r \geq q \geq p, (c_1, c_2) \in \mathcal{C}(T)\}.$$

We assume always that  $r > p$ , since otherwise the desired inequality holds automatically so long as  $\pi_1, \pi_2$  are submersions, as discussed above.

It is natural to conjecture that if  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq r \leq \infty$ , then  $T$  is of restricted weak mixed type  $(p, q, r)$  if and only if  $(p, q, r) \in P(T)$ ; we prove this conjecture except at the endpoints. In an appendix we explain the presence of the additional restriction  $p \leq q \leq r$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $T$  be a generalized Radon transform of the class described above, and let  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq r \leq \infty$ . If  $(p, q, r)$  is in the interior of  $P(T)$ , then  $T$  maps  $L^p(X)$  to  $L^q L^r(Y)$  boundedly. Moreover, if  $(p, q, r) \notin P(T)$ , then  $T$  does not map  $L^p(X)$  to  $L^q L^r(Y)$  boundedly.

## 2. A BRIEF ACCOUNT OF [14].

Given  $E \subset X$  and  $F \subset Y$ , let

$$(8) \quad \alpha_1 = \frac{\langle T\chi_E, \chi_F \rangle}{|E|}, \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{\langle T\chi_E, \chi_F \rangle}{|F|}.$$

(2) follows from an estimate of the form

$$|\Omega| := |\pi_1^{-1}(E) \cap \pi_2^{-1}(F)| \approx \langle T\chi_E, \chi_F \rangle \gtrsim \alpha_1^{c_1} \alpha_2^{c_2},$$

where  $c_1, c_2$  are as in (5). Moreover, a loss of an arbitrarily small power of  $\alpha_1 \alpha_2$  is of no consequence since  $(c_1, c_2)$  belongs to the *interior* of  $\mathcal{C}(T)$ . Throughout the remainder of the discussion we will denote

$$(9) \quad \Omega := \pi_1^{-1}(E) \cap \pi_2^{-1}(F).$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be a small exponent to be specified near the end of the proof, and let  $C_\varepsilon$  be a large constant. All constants in the ensuing discussion depend on  $X, Y, Z, c_1, c_2$  and the quantities given as subscripts.

**Definition 4.** Let  $S \subset [-1, 1]$  be a measurable set of positive Lebesgue measure. We say that  $S$  is central with width  $w > 0$  if

- (i)  $S \subset [-C_\varepsilon w, C_\varepsilon w]$  and
- (ii)  $|I \cap S| \leq C_\varepsilon (|I|/w)^\varepsilon |S|$  for all intervals  $I$ .

Let  $\Omega$  be a Lebesgue measurable subset of  $Z$ , having positive measure. We will assume throughout the discussion that  $\pi_j(\Omega)$  has positive measure, for both  $j = 1, 2$ . Define

$$(10) \quad \alpha_j = \frac{|\Omega|}{|\pi_j(\Omega)|} \text{ for } j = 1, 2$$

$$(11) \quad \alpha := \min(\alpha_1, \alpha_2).$$

Fix any  $\rho > 0$ ;  $\rho$  will eventually be chosen to be arbitrarily small at the conclusion of the proof.

**Definition 5.** Let  $\Omega \subset Z$  and let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  be as defined in (10). Let  $j$  be an integer. A  $j$ -subsheaf  $\Omega'$  of  $\Omega$ , of width  $w_j$ , is a subset of  $\Omega$  of measure  $\geq C_\rho^{-1} \alpha^\rho |\Omega|$  such that for all  $x \in \Omega'$ , the set

$$\{|t| \ll 1 : e^{tV_j}(x) \in \Omega'\}$$

is a central set of width  $w_j$  and measure  $\geq C_{N,\varepsilon} \alpha^{C_N\varepsilon + C/N} \alpha_j$ . Here  $V_j = V_1$  if  $j$  is odd, and  $V_j = V_2$  if  $j$  is even.

In [14] it is proved (see Corollary 8.3) that for any  $\rho \in (0, 1]$  there exists  $C_\rho < \infty$  such that for any measurable set  $\Omega \subset Z$  there exists a nested sequence of subsets of  $\Omega$

$$\Omega_0 \subset \Omega_1 \subset \dots \subset \Omega_{d+1} \subset \Omega$$

such that: For each  $j$ ,  $\Omega_j$  is a  $j$ -sheaf of  $\Omega$  with width  $w_j$ ,

$$C_\rho^{-1} \alpha^\rho \delta_j \leq w_j \leq \delta_j,$$

where  $\delta_j$  is a 2-periodic sequence (that is,  $\delta_{j+2} = \delta_j$  for all  $0 \leq j \leq d-1$ ) with the properties

$$C_\rho^{-1} \alpha^\rho \alpha_j \leq \delta_j \leq 1,$$

and

$$\delta_1 \leq C_\rho \delta_2^\rho, \quad \delta_2 \leq C_\rho \delta_1^\rho.$$

In particular,  $\delta_1, \delta_2$  are weakly comparable, even though  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  need not be; this ultimately explains why only balls with weakly comparable radii  $\delta_1, \delta_2$  need be taken into account in the hypothesis of our theorem.

Using this construction, it is proved [14] that there exists some ball  $B(z, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  such that

$$(12) \quad |B(z, \delta_1, \delta_2) \cap \Omega| \geq c \alpha^\rho \left( \frac{\alpha_1}{\delta_1} \right)^{\lfloor (d+2)/2 \rfloor} \left( \frac{\alpha_2}{\delta_2} \right)^{\lfloor (d+1)/2 \rfloor} |B(z, \delta_1, \delta_2)|,$$

where  $\varrho > 0$  can be made arbitrarily small by choosing  $\rho$  sufficiently small, and where  $c > 0$  depends on  $\varrho$  but not on  $\Omega, \alpha_j, \delta_j$ . This implies that for arbitrarily small  $\varrho > 0$

$$(13) \quad |\Omega| \gtrsim C_\varrho \alpha^\varrho \delta_1^{c_1} \delta_2^{c_2} \left( \frac{\alpha_1}{\delta_1} \right)^{\lfloor (d+2)/2 \rfloor} \left( \frac{\alpha_2}{\delta_2} \right)^{\lfloor (d+1)/2 \rfloor},$$

for all  $(c_1, c_2)$  in the interior of  $\mathcal{C}(T)$ . This finishes the proof in the non mixed-norm case since<sup>2</sup>  $c_1, c_2 \geq d \geq \lfloor (d+2)/2 \rfloor, \lfloor (d+1)/2 \rfloor$ . The roles of  $X$  and  $Y$  are interchangeable. Therefore there is the alternative bound

$$(14) \quad |\Omega| \gtrsim C_\varrho \alpha^\varrho \delta_1^{c_1} \delta_2^{c_2} \left( \frac{\alpha_1}{\delta_1} \right)^{\lfloor (d+1)/2 \rfloor} \left( \frac{\alpha_2}{\delta_2} \right)^{\lfloor (d+2)/2 \rfloor},$$

for all  $(c_1, c_2)$  in the interior of  $\mathcal{C}(T)$ .

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.

The second conclusion of the theorem is immediate. If  $(p, q, r) \notin P(T)$ , then  $(p, q, r) \notin P_{\theta, A}(T)$  for some  $\theta, A$ . Therefore  $T$  can not be of mixed type  $(p, q, r)$ .

For the first conclusion, it suffices to prove that for all  $(p, q, r)$  in the interior of  $P(T)$  and for all  $\eta > 0, \beta > 0$ , and  $F \subset Y$ ,

$$(15) \quad \langle T\chi_E, \chi_F \rangle \leq C_{p, q, r, \eta} \beta^{-\eta} |E|^{1/p} \|\chi_F\|_{q', r'},$$

where  $E := \{x \in X : \beta < T^*\chi_F(x) \leq 2\beta\}$ .

Let  $\Omega := \pi_1^{-1}(E) \cap \pi_2^{-1}(F)$ . Note that

$$(16) \quad |\Omega| \approx \langle T\chi_E, \chi_F \rangle = \langle \chi_E, T^*\chi_F \rangle \approx \beta |E|.$$

For each  $x \in E$ , let  $\mathcal{F}(x) := \{t \in \mathbf{R} : \gamma_x^*(t) \in F\} \subset \Pi(F) \subset [-1, 1]$ . Note that  $|\mathcal{F}(x)| \approx \beta$ .

Let  $\eta > 0$  be a small constant to be specified at the end of the proof. Fix a small constant  $c_\eta > 0$ . Let  $I(x) \subset [-1, 1]$  be a dyadic interval of minimal length so that

$$(17) \quad |I(x) \cap \mathcal{F}(x)| \geq c_\eta |I(x)|^\eta |\mathcal{F}(x)|;$$

we choose  $c_\eta$  to guarantee that either  $I = [-1, 0]$  or  $I = [0, 1]$  satisfies (17). No interval of length  $< (c_\eta |\mathcal{F}(x)|)^{1/(1-\eta)}$  can satisfy (17), so there must exist at least one dyadic interval of minimal length among all those satisfying the inequality.

This implies that for any dyadic subinterval  $J \subset I(x)$ ,

$$(18) \quad |J \cap \mathcal{F}(x)| \leq \frac{|J|^\eta}{|I|^\eta} |I(x) \cap \mathcal{F}(x)|.$$

Let

$$E_{m, k} = \{x \in E : |I(x)| \approx 2^m \beta, |I(x) \cap \mathcal{F}(x)| \approx 2^k\}.$$

<sup>2</sup>The bounds  $c_1, c_2 \geq d$  are a simple consequence of an equivalent definition of  $\mathcal{C}(T)$  given in [14].

Note that  $\beta^{\eta/(1-\eta)} \lesssim 2^m \lesssim \beta^{-1}$  and  $\beta^{1/(1-\eta)} \lesssim 2^k \lesssim \beta$ .

By the pigeonhole principle there exists a pair  $m, k$  such that  $\tilde{E} = E_{m,k}$  satisfies

$$(19) \quad \langle T\chi_E, \chi_F \rangle \leq C_\eta \beta^{-\eta} \langle T\chi_{\tilde{E}}, \chi_F \rangle.$$

Choose one such pair, with which we work exclusively henceforth.

Partition  $\mathbf{R}$  into intervals  $I_n$ , each of length  $C2^m\beta$  where  $C$  is a sufficiently large fixed constant. Set

$$E_n = \{x \in \tilde{E} : I(x) \cap I_n \neq \emptyset\},$$

$$F_n = F \cap \Pi^{-1}(I_{n-1} \cup I_n \cup I_{n+1}).$$

Note that

$$(20) \quad \langle T\chi_{\tilde{E}}, \chi_F \rangle \lesssim \sum_n \langle T\chi_{E_n}, \chi_{F_n} \rangle.$$

Let  $\Omega^n := \pi_1^{-1}(E_n) \cap \pi_2^{-1}(F_n)$ . Then

$$(21) \quad 2^k |E_n| \lesssim |\Omega^n| \leq \beta |E_n|$$

by Fubini's theorem. For on one hand,  $|\pi_1^{-1}(x)| \leq \beta$  for all  $x \in E$ . On the other hand,  $2^k \sim |I(x) \cap \mathcal{F}(x)|$  and for  $x \in E_n$ ,  $I(x) \cap \mathcal{F}(x) \subset (I_{n-1} \cup I_n \cup I_{n+1}) \cap \mathcal{F}(x) \subset \pi_2^{-1}(F_n)$ . Using (18) with  $I = I_{n-1} \cup I_n \cup I_{n+1}$ , for each  $x \in E_n$  and any dyadic interval  $J$ , we obtain

$$(22) \quad |J \cap \mathcal{F}(x)| \lesssim |J|^\eta (2^m \beta)^{-\eta} 2^k.$$

Let  $\alpha_{n,i} = |\Omega^n| / |\pi_i(\Omega^n)|$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then

$$(23) \quad 2^k \lesssim \alpha_{n,1} \lesssim \beta,$$

by (21). Let  $\alpha_n = \min(\alpha_{n,1}, \alpha_{n,2})$ .

The following lemma follows from a simple application of Hölder's inequality; see [5].

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $F \subset Y$ . For  $r \geq q$ ,*

$$\|\chi_F\|_{q'r'} \geq |F|^{1/r'} |\Pi(F)|^{1/q' - 1/r'}.$$

We aim to prove that  $\langle T\chi_{E_n}, \chi_{F_n} \rangle \lesssim |E_n|^{1/p} \|\chi_{F_n}\|_{q', r'}$ . Via the preceding lemma, this would follow from

$$(24) \quad |\Omega^n| \approx \langle T\chi_{E_n}, \chi_{F_n} \rangle \gtrsim \alpha_{n,1}^{\gamma_1} \alpha_{n,2}^{\gamma_2} |\Pi(F_n)|^{\gamma_3},$$

where

$$(25) \quad \gamma_1 = \frac{p^{-1}}{p^{-1} - r^{-1}}, \quad \gamma_2 = \frac{1 - r^{-1}}{p^{-1} - r^{-1}}, \quad \gamma_3 = \frac{q^{-1} - r^{-1}}{p^{-1} - r^{-1}}.$$

Whereas the exponent  $\frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{r'}$  in Lemma 2 was nonpositive, here all the exponents  $\gamma_j$  are nonnegative.



The construction of Tao and Wright [14] produces a nested sequence of subsets  $\Omega_0^n \subset \Omega_1^n \subset \dots \subset \Omega_{d+1}^n \subset \Omega^n$  satisfying: For each  $j$ ,  $\Omega_j^n$  is a  $j$ -sheaf of  $\Omega^n$  with width  $w_j$ ,

$$C_\rho^{-1} \alpha_n^\rho \delta_j \leq w_j \leq C_\rho \delta_j,$$

where  $\delta_j$  is a 2-periodic sequence with the property

$$(26) \quad C_\rho^{-1} \alpha_n^\rho \alpha_{n,j} \leq \delta_j \leq 1,$$

and  $|\Omega_j^n| \geq c_\rho \alpha_n^\rho |\Omega^n|$ . Moreover,  $\delta_1, \delta_2$  are weakly comparable.

Now, we prove that the construction above guarantees a lower bound for  $\delta_1$ . Indeed, let  $j$  be odd. Using (22), we have

$$(27) \quad |\Omega_j^n| \leq |E_n| \sup_{J,x} |J \cap F_n(x)| \leq |E_n| w_j^\eta (2^m \beta)^{-\eta} 2^k,$$

where the supremum is taken over all intervals  $J$  of length  $w_j$  and all points  $x \in E_n$ . Using (27), (21) and the fact that  $\Omega_j^n$  is a  $j$ -sheaf of  $\Omega^n$ , we get

$$(28) \quad c_\rho \alpha_n^\rho 2^k |E_n| \lesssim C_\rho \alpha_n^\rho |\Omega^n| \leq |\Omega_j^n| \leq |E_n| w_j^\eta (2^m \beta)^{-\eta} 2^k.$$

This implies that for odd  $j$ ,

$$(29) \quad \delta_1 \gtrsim w_j \gtrsim 2^m \beta C_\rho^{1/\eta} \alpha_n^{\rho/\eta} \gtrsim |\Pi(F_n)| C_\rho^{1/\eta} \alpha_n^{\rho/\eta}.$$

Using the fundamental information (14), we obtain

$$|\Omega^n| \gtrsim \alpha_n^\varrho \alpha_n^{C\rho/\eta} \delta_1^{c_1} \delta_2^{c_2} \left( \frac{\alpha_{n,1}}{\delta_1} \right)^{\lfloor (d+1)/2 \rfloor} \left( \frac{\alpha_{n,2}}{\delta_2} \right)^{\lfloor (d+2)/2 \rfloor},$$

for any  $(c_1, c_2)$  belonging to the interior of  $\mathcal{C}(T)$ . Note that for  $d \geq 2$  and for such  $(c_1, c_2)$ , we have  $0 \leq \gamma_3 < 1 \leq c_1 - \lfloor (d+1)/2 \rfloor$  and  $c_2 > \lfloor (d+2)/2 \rfloor$ . Using this, (26) and (29), we obtain

$$|\Omega^n| \gtrsim \alpha_n^\varrho \alpha_n^{C\rho/\eta} \delta_1^{\gamma_3} \alpha_{n,1}^{c_1 - \gamma_3} \alpha_{n,2}^{c_2} \gtrsim \alpha_n^\varrho \alpha_n^{C\rho/\eta} |\Pi(F_n)|^{\gamma_3} \alpha_{n,1}^{c_1 - \gamma_3} \alpha_{n,2}^{c_2},$$

for all  $(c_1, c_2)$  in the interior of  $\mathcal{C}(T)$ .

Given exponents  $(p, q, r) \in P(T)$ , define  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$  by (25). Choose  $\rho > 0$ , depending on  $\eta$ , and  $(c_1, c_2)$  in the interior of  $\mathcal{C}(T)$ , so that

$$\frac{C\rho}{\eta} + c_1 - \gamma_3 + \varrho \leq \gamma_1, \quad \frac{C\rho}{\eta} + c_2 + \varrho \leq \gamma_2.$$

Therefore, since  $\gamma_3 \geq 0$  (which is a consequence of the assumptions that  $r > p$  and  $r \geq q$ ),

$$|\Omega^n| \gtrsim \alpha_{n,1}^{\gamma_1} \alpha_{n,2}^{\gamma_2} |\Pi(F_n)|^{\gamma_3},$$

and hence

$$\langle T\chi_{E_n}, \chi_{F_n} \rangle \lesssim |E_n|^{1/p} \|\chi_{F_n}\|_{q', r'}.$$

Using this and then Hölder's inequality in (20) (here we use the assumption  $q \geq p$ ), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle T\chi_E, \chi_F \rangle &\lesssim \beta^{-\eta} \sum_n \langle T\chi_{E_n}, \chi_{F_n} \rangle \\
&\lesssim \beta^{-\eta} \sum_n |E_n|^{1/p} \|\chi_{F_n}\|_{q', r'} \\
&\lesssim \beta^{-\eta} \left[ \sum_n |E_n| \right]^{1/p} \left[ \sum_n \|\chi_{F_n}\|_{q', r'}^{q'} \right]^{1/q'} \\
&\leq \beta^{-\eta} |E|^{1/p} \|\chi_F\|_{q', r'}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality we have used the bounded overlap property of the collections  $\{E_n\}$  and  $\{F_n\}$ . Since  $\eta > 0$  can be chosen to be arbitrarily small, this finishes the proof of Theorem 1.

#### 4. PROOF OF LEMMA 1

We refer the reader to [3] for the proof of (i) and (ii).

Let  $B$  be the ball  $B(z_0, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ . Note that  $\{e^{sV_1} z_0 : |s| < \delta_1\} \subset B$  and by (1),  $|\Pi\pi_2(\{e^{sV_1} z_0 : |s| < \delta_1\})| \approx \delta_1$ . Now, we prove that  $|\Pi\pi_2(B)| \lesssim \delta_1$ . Let  $z \in B$  and let  $\varphi$  be a curve connecting  $z_0$  to  $z$  as in Definition 1. Let  $\psi = \Pi \circ \pi_2 \circ \varphi$ . Since  $V_2$  is contained in the kernel of  $D\pi_2$ ,  $|a_1(t)| < \delta_1$  and  $|V_1| \lesssim 1$ , we have

$$|\psi'(t)| \lesssim \delta_1.$$

Therefore  $|\Pi\pi_2(B)| \lesssim \delta_1$ . This proves (iii).

We have actually shown that the 1-dimensional measure of  $B(z_0, 2\delta_1, 2\delta_2) \cap \pi_1^{-1}(x)$  is  $\approx \delta_1$  for every  $x \in \pi_1(B)$ . This also proves (ii) for  $j = 1$ . In fact it implies that if  $A$  is a subset of a  $k$ -dimensional smooth submanifold of  $X$ , then  $\delta_1$  times the  $k$ -dimensional measure of  $A$  is comparable to the  $k + 1$ -dimensional measure of  $\pi_1^{-1}(A) \cap B$ . The corresponding statement holds for  $j = 2$ , as well.

To prove (iv), define  $f(t, \delta_1, \delta_2) = |\pi_2^{-1}\Pi^{-1}(t) \cap B(z_0, \delta_1, \delta_2)|$ , where  $|\cdot|$  signifies the  $d$ -dimensional measure of a subset of  $Z$ . To simplify notation we suppose that  $\Pi(\pi_2(z_0)) = 0$ ; this can be achieved by a change of coordinates. Note that if  $A \subset B(z_0, \delta_1, \delta_2)$  then for  $s < \delta_1$ ,  $e^{sV_1}A \subset B(z_0, 2\delta_1, 2\delta_2)$ . Choose  $t_0 \in [-\delta_1, \delta_1]$  so that  $f(t_0, \delta_1, \delta_2) = \max_{|t| \leq \delta_1} f(t, \delta_1, \delta_2)$ . Then

$$|B(z_0, 2\delta_1, 2\delta_2)| \gtrsim \int_{|s| \leq \delta_1} |e^{sV_1} f(t_0)| ds \gtrsim \delta_1 f(t_0).$$

Now  $|B(z_0, \delta_1, \delta_2)| \gtrsim |B(z_0, 2\delta_1, 2\delta_2)|$ ; the proof given by Nagel, Stein, and Wainger [12] of the volume doubling property carries over to two-parameter balls with  $(\theta, A)$ -weakly comparable radii, with a bound depending on  $\theta$  and on  $A$  but not on  $\delta_1, \delta_2, z_0$ . We conclude that  $f(t) \lesssim |B(z_0, \delta_1, \delta_2)|/\delta_1$  whenever  $|t| \leq \delta_1$ .

Similar reasoning shows that if  $|t|, |t'| \leq \delta_1$  then  $f(t', \delta_1, \delta_2) \leq Cf(t, 3\delta_1, 3\delta_2)$ . Since  $\Pi(\pi_2(B(z_0, \delta_1, \delta_2))) \subset [-\delta_1, \delta_1]$ , there exists some  $t' \in [-\delta_1, \delta_1]$  for which  $f(t', \delta_1, \delta_2) \gtrsim |B(z_0, \delta_1, \delta_2)|/\delta_1$ . By combining these two observations, we conclude the reverse inequality  $f(t, 3\delta_1, 3\delta_2) \gtrsim |B(z_0, \delta_1, \delta_2)|/\delta_1$  whenever  $|t| \leq \delta_1$ .

The lower bound for  $\|\chi_{\pi_2(B)}\|_{q', r'}$  in (iv) follows from this together with the observation that  $f(t) \approx |\pi_2(f(t))|\delta_2$ . The upper bound follows in the same way from the upper bound  $f(t) \lesssim |B(z_0, \delta_1, \delta_2)|/\delta_1$ .

Finally, conclusion (v) follows from (ii) and (iv).  $\square$

### 5. ON THE HYPOTHESIS $p \leq q \leq r$

One reason why the restriction  $r \geq q \geq p$  in Theorem 1 is natural is as follows.

**Proposition 3.** *Suppose that  $|B(z, \delta_1, \delta_2)|$  is comparable to  $|B(z', \delta_1, \delta_2)|$ , uniformly for all  $z, z'$  and all weakly comparable  $\delta_1, \delta_2$ . Then all valid mixed norm inequalities for  $T$  are implied by the conjectured inequalities. That is:*

(i) *If  $T$  is of restricted weak mixed type  $(p, q, r)$  with  $r > p > q$ , then  $(p, p, r) \in P(T)$ .*

(ii) *If  $T$  is of restricted weak mixed type  $(p, q, r)$  with  $q > r > p$ , then  $(p, q, r)$  is an interpolant between  $(1, \infty, 1)$  and some  $(p_1, q_1, r_1) \in P(T)$ .*

In case (i), the restricted weak mixed type bound for  $(p, p, r)$  implies that for  $(p, q, r)$  by Hölder's inequality, since we are working in a bounded region and  $q < p$ . In case (ii), the conclusion is that  $(p^{-1}, q^{-1}, r^{-1})$  belongs to the line segment with endpoints  $(1, 0, 1)$  and  $(p_1^{-1}, q_1^{-1}, r_1^{-1})$ . Since any generalized Radon transform  $T$  is of strong type  $(1, \infty, 1)$ , the restricted weak mixed type  $(p, q, r)$  inequality follows from the  $(p_1, q_1, r_1)$  inequality by interpolation.

*Proof.* (i) If  $(p, p, r) \notin P(T)$  then there exist  $\theta, A$  and a sequence of balls  $B_n(z_n, \delta_{n,1}, \delta_{n,2})$  with  $\delta_{n,1} \sim_{(\theta, A)} \delta_{n,2}$  satisfying

$$|B_n|^{\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p}} \delta_{n,1}^{\frac{2}{p} - \frac{1}{r}} \delta_{n,2}^{1 - \frac{1}{r}} \rightarrow \infty,$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Choose  $N_n \approx \delta_{n,1}^{-1}$  balls of size comparable to  $B_n$  with disjoint projections under  $\Pi \circ \pi_2$ ; this is possible by Lemma 1. Let  $U_n$  be the union of these balls. Note that  $|\pi_1(U_n)| \lesssim N_n |\pi_1(B_n)|$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|U_n|}{|\pi_1(U_n)|^{1/p} \|\chi_{\pi_2(U_n)}\|_{q', r'}} &\gtrsim \frac{N_n |B_n|}{\left[ N_n \frac{|B_n|}{\delta_{n,1}} \right]^{1/p} \left[ \frac{|B_n|}{\delta_{n,1} \delta_{n,2}} \right]^{1/r'} \delta_{n,1}^{1/q'} N_n^{1/q'}} \\ &\approx \left( |B_n|^{\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p}} \delta_{n,1}^{\frac{2}{p} - \frac{1}{r}} \delta_{n,2}^{1 - \frac{1}{r}} \right) (N_n \delta_{n,1})^{1/q - 1/p} \\ &\approx |B_n|^{\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p}} \delta_{n,1}^{\frac{2}{p} - \frac{1}{r}} \delta_{n,2}^{1 - \frac{1}{r}} \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus,  $T$  can not be of restricted weak mixed type  $(p, q, r)$ .

(ii) For  $s \in [0, 1]$  define  $p_1, q_1, r_1$  by

$$\frac{1}{p} = 1 - s + \frac{s}{p_1}, \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{s}{q_1}, \quad \frac{1}{r} = 1 - s + \frac{s}{r_1}.$$

A bit of algebra shows that  $r_1 \geq q_1 \geq p_1$  if and only if  $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p'} \leq s \leq \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r'}$ , and moreover that  $0 < \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p'} \leq \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r'} < 1$  under our assumption that  $q > r > p$ . Thus it is possible to choose  $s \in (0, 1)$  so that  $r_1 \geq q_1 \geq p_1$ . Fix such a parameter  $s$ .

If  $(p_1, q_1, r_1) \in P(T)$  then we have the conclusion of case (ii). Otherwise there exist  $\theta, A$  and a sequence of balls  $B_n = B_n(z_n, \delta_{n,1}, \delta_{n,2})$  with  $\delta_{n,1} \sim_{(\theta, A)} \delta_{n,2}$  such that

$$|B_n|^{\frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{r_1}} \delta_{n,1}^{1 - \frac{1}{r_1}} \delta_{n,2}^{1 - \frac{1}{r_1}} \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that for these balls

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|B_n|}{|\pi_1(B_n)|^{1/p} \|\chi_{\pi_2(B_n)}\|_{q', r'}} &\approx |B_n|^{\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{r}} \delta_{n,1}^{1 - \frac{1}{r}} \delta_{n,2}^{1 - \frac{1}{r}} \\ &= \left( |B_n|^{\frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{r_1} - \frac{1}{r_1}} \delta_{n,1}^{1 - \frac{1}{r_1}} \delta_{n,2}^{1 - \frac{1}{r_1}} \right)^s \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $T$  can not be of restricted weak mixed type  $(p, q, r)$ .  $\square$

Case (ii) is valid for all operators  $T$ , without the hypothesis that balls of equal bi-radii have uniformly comparable measures.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Christ, *Convolution, curvature, and combinatorics. A case study*, Internat. Math. Research Notices 19 (1998), 1033-1048.
- [2] ———, *Counting to  $L^p$* , Lecture notes for the Instructional conference on combinatorial aspects of mathematical analysis, ICMS, Edinburgh, April 1-2, 2002.
- [3] ———,  *$L^p$  bounds for one-dimensional generalized Radon transforms*, preprint.
- [4] ———, *Quasi-extremals for a Radon-like transform*, preprint.
- [5] M. Christ and M. B. Erdoğan, *Mixed norm estimates for a restricted X-ray transform*, J. d'Analyse 87 (2002), 187-198.
- [6] M. Christ, A. Nagel, E. M. Stein, S. Wainger, *singular Radon transforms: Analysis and geometry*, Ann. of Math. (2) 150 (1999), 489-577.
- [7] M. B. Erdoğan, *Mixed norm estimates for a restricted X-ray transform in  $\mathbf{R}^4$  and  $\mathbf{R}^5$* , Internat. Math. Research Notices 11 (2001), 575-600.
- [8] A. Greenleaf and A. Seeger, *Fourier integral operators with fold singularities*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 455 (1994), 35-56.
- [9] ———, *Fourier integral operators with cusp singularities*, Amer. Jour. Math. 120 (1998), 1077-1119.
- [10] ———, *On X-ray transforms for rigid line complexes and integrals over curves in  $\mathbf{R}^4$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 127 (1999), 3533-3545.
- [11] A. Greenleaf, A. Seeger, S. Wainger, *Estimates for generalized Radon transforms in three and four dimensions*, Analysis, geometry, number theory: the mathematics of Leon Ehrenpreis (Philadelphia, PA, 1998), 243-254, Contemp. Math., 251, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.

- [12] A. Nagel, E. Stein, S. Wainger, *Balls and metrics defined by vector fields I. Basic properties*, Acta. Math. 155 (1985), 103-147.
- [13] A. Seeger, *Radon transforms and finite type conditions*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 11 (1998), 869-897.
- [14] T. Tao and J. Wright,  *$L^p$  improving bounds for averages along curves*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (2003), 605-638.
- [15] T. Wolff, *A sharp bilinear cone restriction estimate*, Ann. of Math. (2) 153 (2001), 661-698.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY, CA 94720-3840

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, URBANA, IL 61801